

Building “Design Empathy” among HCI Students for People with Disabilities

TAMANNA MOTAHAR, University of Utah, USA

NOELLE BROWN, University of Utah, USA

ELIANE S. WIESE, University of Utah, USA

JASON WIESE, University of Utah, USA

While accessibility researchers advocate for building “design empathy” among technology designers for people with disabilities, engaging HCI students directly with these populations in contemporary classrooms to build empathy is highly challenging. Thus, HCI educators use curated disabled experiences (e.g., simulation or personas) in the classrooms to teach students about disability experiences. However, these methods do not convey the holistic experience of people with disabilities. We propose a new way of teaching design empathy: bringing students into contact with the real-life experiences of people with disabilities through a curated set of their public social media posts.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Design Empathy, HCI education, Motor disability

ACM Reference Format:

Tamanna Motahar, Noelle Brown, Eliane S. Wiese, and Jason Wiese. 2023. Building “Design Empathy” among HCI Students for People with Disabilities. In *The ASSETS workshop on A11yFutures: Envisioning the Future of Accessibility Research, October 17, 2023*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

1 INTRODUCTION

Building “design empathy” is a crucial step in the HCI and accessibility research while designing for people with disabilities [2, 3]. According to Bennett and Rosner [3], technology designers should develop empathic connections with the users with disabilities as their companions (e.g., being-with) instead of “mimicking” (e.g., being-like) them. In this workshop paper [referred publication [9]], we draw attention to how HCI education can build “empathy” among students so that they can understand the experience of this systematically underrepresented population.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Among many other domains where people with disabilities are under-represented, Human Computer Interaction (HCI) education research is a crucial one. While one of the primary goals of HCI education is integrating inclusivity and diversity in HCI classes, direct engagement with people with disabilities is challenging in the contemporary classroom setting. Notably, accessibility researchers demonstrated that gaining access to research participants with diverse disabilities (e.g., people with a spinal cord injury [6] or low vision [7]), or conducting research with these populations using contemporary HCI research methods is challenging. For instance, Kabir et al. [6] showed that individuals with severe motor disabilities (e.g., spinal cord injury/tetraplegia) often have other comorbidities, including speech impairment or breathing difficulties, in addition to other accessibility needs (e.g.,

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

ASSETS: A11yFutures, October 17, 2023,

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

transportation). Therefore, these people often get excluded or remain underrepresented in HCI research. These difficulties of conducting research with participants with severe disabilities also apply to classroom settings, and they remain unrepresented in course-based research studies or projects. Thus, novice HCI students rarely have the opportunity to observe or learn about first hand experiences and needs of this population as potential users of technology. This results in a disconnection between the novice designers and the potential users, which impede building design empathy among the students for this population.

3 CONTEMPORARY WAYS OF TEACHING DISABILITY EXPERIENCE

3.1 Imitating Disability

Contemporary HCI education often opt for disability simulation— where tasks or exercises are designed primarily to imitate disability affects (e.g., wearing blindfolds and gloves to obstruct vision and tactile sensations to imitate the disability experience). Indeed, these exercises do not properly convey the actual disability experience, often fail to shift a designer’s thinking process outside themselves [1], and may not build design empathy among the students [9]. Another negative consequence of disability simulation is that imitating disability experiences lead participants to underestimate the true capabilities of people with disabilities [10].

3.2 Task Interventions

Many HCI educators and researchers also shed light on different task interventions to teach students about accessibility (e.g., lectures, assignments, and projects). For instance, Oleson et al. [11] explored undergraduate students’ accessibility awareness and knowledge through accessibility lectures and interactions with stakeholders with disabilities within an HCI class. Similarly, Tseng et al. [13] integrated guest lectures, assignments, and project interventions to teach students accessibility aspects. However, researchers found that those initiatives fell short to teach students about disability experiences and students failed to connect accessibility needs with assistive technology design.

3.3 Personas and Technology Guidelines

Motahar et al. [9] reported using cognitive walkthroughs with personas with disabilities [14], WCAG software development guidelines [15], and video demonstrations of assistive technology [12]. However, these approaches teach students an assumed or shallow understanding of the lived experiences of individuals with disabilities. Thus, the aim of design empathy was not fulfilled.

4 TOWARD BUILDING DESIGN EMPATHY AMONG HCI STUDENTS

Prior HCI and accessibility researchers strongly advocated for the inclusion of people with disabilities in research about them. For instance, Mankoff et al. [8] argued that accessibility research should facilitate engagement with individuals with disabilities in a holistic way to understand their true experiences (e.g., likes, dislikes, thoughts, and feelings). Thus, to build design empathy among HCI students for people with disabilities, engagement with these people is necessary. Since direct engagement with these populations is challenging in the contemporary classroom setting, students might benefit from additional alternative ways of teaching students about the lived experiences of these target users.

4.1 “Being-like” vs “Being-with”

To make the students aware of the differences between “being-like” and “being-with,” HCI educators need to teach students the limitations of the methods they are teaching in classrooms (e.g., simulation, personas). HCI instructors can use creative example scenarios (e.g., attending a class-lecture being an observer versus being a

student for credit) that students can relate. These exercises can help students understand the differences between having a “glimpse” of someone’s life and living the challenges holistically.

4.2 Using Curated Social Media Data for Building Design Empathy: “Being-near”

Due to the challenges of engaging individuals with disabilities and using their first-hand experiences in HCI classrooms, we propose using their public social media data (rephrased and curated) to provide students with glimpses into the nuances of the target user’s lived experiences. As individuals with disabilities and chronic conditions heavily rely on the internet [5], and share their day-to-day challenges and experiences within similar groups on social media [4], closely observing and analyzing social media activities (e.g., posts, comments, threads) of people with disabilities might provide students with some insight into their lived-experiences. Thus, HCI educators might let students learn and analyze disability-specific public social media data—following all ethical considerations of using public social media data (e.g., anonymization, paraphrasing)—to indirectly be with, or at least “be near,” the target population.

Original Publication: Tamanna Motahar, Noelle Brown, Eliane Stampfer Wiese, and Jason Wiese. 2023. Building “Design Empathy” for People with Disabilities: an Unsolved Challenge in HCI Education. In *Proceedings of the 5th Annual Symposium on HCI Education*. 68–71

REFERENCES

- [1] Abreu 2018. *Why I won’t try on disability to build empathy in the design process (and you should think twice about it)*. Retrieved September 20, 2023 from <https://blog.prototypr.io/why-i-wont-try-on-disability-to-build-empathy-in-the-design-process-and-you-should-think-twice-7086ed6202aa>
- [2] Katja Battarbee et al. 2002. Empathy probes. In *PDC*. 266–271.
- [3] Cynthia L Bennett and Daniela K Rosner. 2019. The promise of empathy: Design, disability, and knowing the “other”. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. 1–13.
- [4] Shaan Chopra et al. 2021. Living with uncertainty and stigma: self-experimentation and support-seeking around polycystic ovary syndrome. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–18.
- [5] Timothy P Hogan et al. 2016. Health information seeking and technology use among veterans with spinal cord injuries and disorders. *PM&R* 8, 2 (2016), 123–130.
- [6] Kazi Sinthia Kabir, Ahmad Alsaleem, and Jason Wiese. 2021. The Impact of Spinal Cord Injury on Participation in Human-Centered Research. In *Designing Interactive Systems Conference 2021*. 1902–1914.
- [7] Kelly Mack, Emma McDonnell, Venkatesh Potluri, Maggie Xu, Jaily Zabala, Jeffrey Bigham, Jennifer Mankoff, and Cynthia Bennett. 2022. Anticipate and adjust: Cultivating access in human-centered methods. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–18.
- [8] Jennifer Mankoff, Gillian R Hayes, and Devva Kasnitz. 2010. Disability studies as a source of critical inquiry for the field of assistive technology. In *Proceedings of the 12th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on Computers and accessibility*. 3–10.
- [9] Tamanna Motahar, Noelle Brown, Eliane Stampfer Wiese, and Jason Wiese. 2023. Building “Design Empathy” for People with Disabilities: an Unsolved Challenge in HCI Education. In *Proceedings of the 5th Annual Symposium on HCI Education*. 68–71.
- [10] Michelle R Nario-Redmond, Dobromir Gospodinov, and Angela Cobb. 2017. Crip for a day: The unintended negative consequences of disability simulations. *Rehabilitation psychology* 62, 3 (2017), 324.
- [11] Alannah Oleson, Christopher Mendez, Zoe Steine-Hanson, Claudia Hilderbrand, Christopher Perdriau, Margaret Burnett, and Amy J Ko. 2018. Pedagogical content knowledge for teaching inclusive design. In *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research*. 69–77.
- [12] ScreenReader 2021. *Screen Reader Accessibility Demo*. Retrieved February 14, 2023 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IMqVLYAZ3rk>
- [13] Chia-En Tseng, Seoung Ho Jung, Yasmine N Elglaly, Yudong Liu, and Stephanie Ludi. 2022. Exploration on Integrating Accessibility into an AI Course. In *Proceedings of the 53rd ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education-Volume 1*. 864–870.
- [14] W3 2021. *Making Content Usable for People with Cognitive and Learning Disabilities*. Retrieved September 24, 2023 from <https://www.w3.org/TR/coga-usable/>
- [15] WCAG 2018. *Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) international standard*. Retrieved September 24, 2023 from <https://www.w3.org/WAI/standards-guidelines/wcag/>